Development of a Dark and Quiet Skies (DQS) Module

Swiss SKA days 2023

TOWARDS A MORE SUSTAINABLE USE OF SPACE

RATING

SUSTAINABILITY

SPACE



## WHAT IS THE SPACE SUSTAINABILITY RATING (SSR)?

Encouraging space actors to design & implement sustainable & responsible space missions for the longterm sustainability of the space environment





# A METRIC FOR SPACE SUSTAINABILITY

Aggregation of mission parameters into a rating label:

- **Assessing** mission's **impact** on the space environment;
- Recognizing efforts by satellite operators; and
- **Incentivizing** sustainable building and operation practises

Tier level	Tier Score		
Platinum	Between 81% and 100%		
Gold	Between 71% and 80%		
Silver	Between 56% and 70%		
Bronze	Between 40% and 55%		





### A SHORT OVERVIEW OF THE SSR MODULES





# 2022-2023: Development of a Dark and Quiet Skies module

### Participating in the IAU CPS Policy Hub (WP n°3)

- Phase I: July-August 2022
  - Internship: Literature review
- Phase II: September-December 2022
  - Semester projects: Preliminary module definition



- Semester Project and Master Thesis: Preliminary definition of module's sub-components (Dark Skies, Quiet Skies)
- <u>Milestone</u>: First conference paper to be published at <u>IAU Symposium 385</u> "Astronomy and Satellite Constellations: Pathways Forward". 2-6 October 2023.





### Dark and Quiet Skies Module: in a nutshell





# HERITAGE FROM THE DETECTABILITY MODULE

### Python code framework:

- Simulate ground stations, simulate satellite(s)
- Satellite propagation for desired duration
- Retrieve access periods (for optical or radio purposes)
- For optical only: Compute the VM of the satellite(s) for different access periods above the defined ground station, retrieve the time stepped values
- Some limitations! → computation time and satellite's albedo parameter

### Phase III scope:

- Dark Skies: define a scoring function based on the existing python framework
- Quiet Skies: define a RFI quantification methodology



### Dark skies

- Goals of the Dark Skies module:
  - Enhance the Python framework;
  - Define a scoring function based on the existing python framework; and
  - Study the impact of mission parameters to provide recommendations to operators
- Score<sub>Dark</sub> in three parts:
  - *Score*<sub>Design</sub> : Impact at spacecraft level (i.e., Visual-magnitude wise)
  - *Score*<sub>Aggregated</sub> : Aggregated impact at mission level (i.e., data-loss wise)
  - Score<sub>Questionnaire</sub> = Impact of other parameters (other non-quantitative criteria that can be used in a compliance/non compliance questionnaire)

 $Score_{Dark} = \gamma_1 S_{Design} + \gamma_2 S_{Aggregated} + \gamma_1 S_{Questionnaire}$ 



### Dark skies

9

### Score<sub>Design</sub> definition:

- $mag_{min}$  = Minimum apparent magnitude (brightest)
- $mag_{avg}$  = Average apparent magnitude
- mag<sub>diff</sub> = Margin around the IAU recommendation for minimum visual magnitude\*
- $\omega_0$  = "Reward" for being over (fainter) the IAU recommendation for minimum visual magnitude\*
- $\omega_1$  = Weight of the minimum magnitude function
- $\omega_2$  = Weight of the average magnitude function
- $\omega_3$  = Steepness of the logarithm

 $Score_{Design} = w_0 f(mag_{min}) + w_1 g(mag_{min}) + w_2 g(mag_{avg})$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } x < mag_{rec} \\ 1 \text{ for } x \ge mag_{rec} \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } x < mag_{rec} - mag_{diff} \\ \log_{10}(9 * (\frac{x - mag_{rec} + mag_{diff}}{2 * mag_{diff}})^{w_3} + 1) \text{ for } |x - mag_{rec}| \le mag_{diff} \\ 1 \text{ for } x > mag_{rec} + mag_{diff} \end{cases}$$



### Dark skies

- Plot for different *mag<sub>diff</sub>* for different spacecrafts\*:
  - ISS
  - Tiangong
  - BlueWalker 3
  - KMS-4
  - Hubble
  - Envisat
  - 2 different generations of Starlink
  - Iridium 4

\*Magnitudes from heavens-above.com as a preliminary test for the scoring function





### Quiet skies

#### <u>Goals:</u>

- **1. Quantify** the impacts, two scoring categories were pre-identified:
  - **Proportion of time above a** Radio Frequencies Interference threshold: Comparison of the satellite power flux density to the radio observatory (using it's the SEFD parameter over its integration time window)
  - Number of frequency channels (average) perturbed by satellites when above the interference threshold
- 2. Propose solutions to lower the satellite(s) impact on Earth ground stations during the design phase:
  - Depends on the mission characteristics (orbital plane, altitude, radio emission power, frequencies, shutdown systems presence, ...)

SEFD: System Equivalent Flux Density. Standard sensitivity / performance metric for a telescope



### Quiet skies

- Created a fast and reliable and ergonomic framework where one can simulate the impact of one or several satellites with respect to a given set of radio ground stations.
- Adapted the code to be fully configurable by using a configuration file where all satellite and ground station parameters are entered and can be changed between 2 simulations

**Next steps**: Validate the program with realistic data for radio GS and satellites against a professional tool.

Use Case	Initial Code runtime [s]	New Code runtime [s]	Speed Up
First run	1260	30	$4,\!200\%$
Consecutive runs with small modifications	1260	0.5	252,000%

Work has been done to make the program multi-threaded and multi-processed for run time reduction



Configuration example for Radio telescopes (numbers taken arbitrarily)



**Quiet skies** Global RFI stations results



- The size of the dots represent the number of frequency channels undergoing RFI for a given satellite (designated by the dot color).
- Each dot represents a radio RFI for a whole integration time window (which might of different length from one radio telescope to another)





gs window

**Disclaimer:** data shown on the graphs come from fake/random satellite & radio telescope input parameters, and whose sole purpose is to show the plot rendering. Please, do not take these results as realistic.



### CONCLUSION

- Preliminary definition of a framework to quantify satellite impacts, account for efforts by operators, and assign a score allowing to incentivize satellite operators to account for their impact on astronomy;
- Next steps includes further definition of modules sub-components and validation of the developed framework
- All this activity is unfunded and mainly performed by students: if you think that it is interesting and should be pushed further, please get in contact!





# **GET IN TOUCH**



# **Adrien Saada**

Operations Officer adrien.saada@ssr.space

#### spacesustainabilityrating.org





SPACE SUSTAINABILITY RATING