# Impact of astrophysical scatter on the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> bispectrum

Chandra Shekhar Murmu (IIT Indore)

Collaborators: Kanan K. Datta (Jadavpur University), Suman Majumdar (IIT Indore),

Thomas R. Greve (Cosmic Dawn Center)

# Background

- I work on simulations of cosmic reionization and line-intensity mapping (LIM)
- I investigate models of reionization and work on forecasting and interpretation of observable LIM summary statistics
- Soon to submit my PhD thesis

# **Outline of the Talk**

- Epoch of Reionization and line-intensity mapping
- Impact of line-luminosity scatter on [C II]<sub>158µm</sub> LIM signal
- Astrophysical scatter in star-formation rate
- Simulating  $[H I]_{21cm}$  signal with scatter
- Impact of scatter on the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> bispectrum
- Detectability
- Future scope

# The Epoch of Reionization (EoR)



Credit: NAOJ

- First luminous sources (galaxies) were formed
- Ionizing radiation from the luminous sources reionized the neutral IGM

#### How to probe the EoR universe?

# **Probing the EoR: galaxies**



## Challenges!

- Demanding sensitivity limits
- Demanding resolutions
- Expensive to operate, therefore it becomes impractical to map large galaxy samples



# Line-intensity mapping

Accumulate the cumulative flux of numerous sources from a comparatively small region (Voxel)





### Probing the EoR with Intensity Mapping: galaxies and IGM



### **Observable summary statistics**

Modelling (analytical/numerical) of observable summary statistics (e.g. power spectrum) is essential to interpret LIM observations

# $[C \parallel]_{158\mu m}$ vs $M_{halo}$ relation





# $[C \parallel]_{158\mu m}$ line-luminosity scatter: SIMBA + SIGAME



# $[C \parallel]_{158\mu m}$ line-luminosity scatter: SIMBA + SIGAME



This is expected to impact the observable summary statistics (e.g. power spectrum)

# Impact of line-luminosity scatter on the [C II] power spectrum

The non-uniform scatter impacts the power spectrum regardless of the fit used for comparison

When compared against the most-probable fit, this impact can be modelled robustly, unlike the mean fit



How variability in the star-formation rate (astrophysical scatter) affects reionization of the IGM?

#### Impact on power spectrum



Hassan et al. 2022, ApJ, 931, 62

The ionization power spectrum is mostly unaffected, when astrophysical scatter is included in modelling reionization

### Impact on power spectrum



Hassan et al. 2022, ApJ, 931, 62

The ionization power spectrum is mostly unaffected, when astrophysical scatter is included in modelling reionization

- Ionization field is not directly observable, unlike the brightness temperature fluctuations of the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal
- [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal is known to be highly non-Gaussian and astrophysical scatter might introduce additional non-Gaussianities

# [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> bispectrum

[H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal is known to be highly non-Gaussian and astrophysical scatter might introduce additional non-Gaussianities

Higher order statistics such as bispectrum can capture non-Gaussianities in the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal

$$k_2$$
  
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_2$   
 $k_3$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_1$   
 $k_2$ ,  $\vec{k}_2$ ,  $\vec{k}_3$ ) =  $\frac{1}{N_{ ext{tri}}V} \sum_{[\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 = 0] \in m} ilde{\Delta}T_b(\vec{k}_1) ilde{\Delta}T_b(\vec{k}_2) ilde{\Delta}T_b(\vec{k}_3)$ 

# Simulations of the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal

Usual reionization source model:

$$N_\gamma \propto {
m SFR}(M_h,z)$$

Simplistic model for astrophysical scatter:  $N_\gamma \propto {
m SFR}(M_h,z) +$ Log-normal scatter

We generate 50 realizations of the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> signal for each of six neutral fractions that we considered (a total of 300 simulations were done)

# Bispectrum triangle configurations





Majumdar et al. 2020, MNRAS, 499(4), 5090



scale  $k_1$  [Mpc<sup>-1</sup>] **Statistical** 0.18 0.31 0.53 0.89 1.50 2.55 4.31 0.95 0.925 significance 1 0.825 k<sup>7</sup>/k<sup>7</sup> k<sup>7</sup>/k 22 XHI 0.625 0.525  $/\sigma_{\Delta B}$ 06.0 0.925 r 0.825 k/k k 22 30  $\overline{X}_{HI}$ 0.625 0.525 20 x<sub>HI</sub> 0.81 0.925 1 0.825 4/4 0.725  $|\langle \Delta B \rangle / \sigma_{\Delta B}|$ 22 XH 0.625 0.525 A total of 300 realizations ≈ 0.72 were simulated 0.925 <sup>1</sup> 0.825 k<sup>7</sup>/k<sup>7</sup> k<sup>7</sup>/k<sup>1</sup> -3 ХHI 0.625 0.525 2 0.62 0.925 <sup>1</sup> 0.825 4<sup>7</sup>/4<sup>7</sup>/4<sup>7</sup>/4<sup>7</sup> 22 XHI 0.625 0.525 0.53 0.925 1 0.825 4 1/2 4 0.725 22 ХH 0.625 20 Murmu et al. 2023, arXiv: 2311.17062 0 525 0.525 625 725 825 925

 $\cos\theta$ 

 $\cos\theta$ 

 $\cos\theta$ 

 $\cos\theta$ 

cosθ

 $\cos\theta$ 

 $\cos\theta$ 

# Impact of scatter on the [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> bispectrum



Statistical significance



Murmu et al. 2023, arXiv: 2311.17062



### **Small-scale ionized bubbles**



The small-scale ionized bubbles vary across different realizations of the astrophysical scatter

# Detectability



The signal-to-noise ratio is not sufficient when observed with 1000 hrs of SKA1-Low

# Detectability



However, more optimistic scenarios can be adopted which observes for a fixed duration per year (e.g. 1000 hrs/year)

This can be extended for a couple of years after SKA1-Low is operational

### **Future scope**

- Impact of astrophysical scatter on the cross-correlation of [H I]<sub>21cm</sub> and [C II]<sub>158µm</sub>, CO LIM signals
- Incorporate density dependent recombination
- Other sources of reionization can be included
- Line-of-sight (anisotropies), such as redshift space distortion and light-cone effect might affect the impact of scatter

### Once again...

- I am interested to explore further avenues in LIM
- Soon to submit my PhD thesis (currently looking for Postdoctoral positions)

