

Scaling for ASKAP and SKA1

Tim Cornwell Ben Humphreys Maxim Voronkov Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder



Tuesday, 26 July 2011

ASKAP data flow



T. Cornwell, July 9 2010

CSIRC

- From observing to archive with no human decision making
 - Calibrate automatically
 - Image automatically ~ 80 TB per 8 hour observation
 - Form science oriented catalogues automatically

Improvement of scaling since project initiation



Improvement of scaling since project initiation



Overview of ASKAP imaging

- Necessary to develop calibration and imaging code from scratch
- 1 MS per chunk of frequencies
- All MS's stored on common Lustre file system
- All images in memory
- Master/worker pattern
- Master distributes model image, accumulates normal equations, deconvolves
- Workers accept model and calculate normal equations
- 1 master, many workers



- One MPI process per core
- Eventually will move to one MPI process per node
 - And OpenMP for processing within a node



Scaling of gridding inside one node







Overall gridding performance





Intel Many Integrated Core system

- Intel Many Integrated Core (MIC) beta program
- 'Knights Ferry' development platform.
 - <u>http://www.intel.com/technology/</u> <u>architecture-silicon/mic/index.htm</u>
- 22nm, up to 50 cores
- The highlight of the product is the software development stack
 - Allows simple OpenMP directives to be added to existing code to offload processing to the MIC card.
- The software stack lived up to expectations, with a gridding kernel/ benchmark port taking about 30 minutes to port.
- A simple implementation of a Hogbom clean was also developed for the MIC.
- Results under NDA





Radio telescope imaging

 Spatial coherence of electric field (visibility) is Fourier transform of sky brightness

$$V_{A'B} = \left\langle E_{A'} E_{B}^{*} \right\rangle_{t}$$
$$= e^{-2\pi j w} \int I(l,m) e^{-2\pi j (ul+vm)} dl dm$$

- Measures for many values of the Fourier components u,v
- Invert Fourier relationship to get image of sky brightness
- Typical problems
 - Incomplete u,v, sampling
 - Calibration
 - Wide field of view: no longer Fourier transform





Wide field imaging

 Visibility on plane A'B is Fourier transform of sky

$$V_{A'B} = e^{-2\pi jw} \int I(l,m) e^{-2\pi j(ul+vm)} dl dm$$

- Antenna A' receives radiation by Fresnel diffraction from AB plane
- Visibility between A' and B is Fresnel/ Fourier transform

$$V_{A'B} = \int I(l,m)e^{-2\pi j\left(ul + vm + w\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}\right)} \frac{dldm}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}}$$

- Not invertible
- Use iterative algorithm
 - Predict forward with high accuracy
 - Reverse calculation is approximate
 - Apply prior information *e.g.* sparse



W projection algorithm

• Re-arrange imaging equation

$$V(u,v,w) = \tilde{G}(u,v,w) \otimes V(u,v)$$

$$\tilde{G}(u,v,w) = \int \frac{e^{j2\pi w \left(\sqrt{1-l^2 - m^2} - 1\right)}}{\sqrt{1-l^2 - m^2}} e^{j2\pi (ul + vm)} dl dm$$

- Algorithm
 - Given a trial image *I(I,m)*, Fourier transform to single grid
 - Tabulate w
 - Calculate convolution function for each w
 - For each visibility, calculate trial value using anti-aliasing filter
- Problems
 - Large number of flops
 - Memory for convolution function can be very large



Snapshot imaging



Snapshot imaging

Instantaneously arrays are mostly coplanar

$$V_{A'B} = \int I(l,m)e^{-2\pi j\left(ul + vm + w\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}\right)} \frac{dldm}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}}$$

$$V_{A'B} = \int I(l,m)e^{-2\pi j \left(u \left(l - a\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}\right) + v \left(m - b\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}\right)\right)} \frac{dldm}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}}$$

- Grid on two dimensional plane, FFT, correct for coordinate distortion
- Gridding cost much lower, but need to correct image every integration
- Combine snapshot imaging with AWProjection
 - Fit plane to instantaneous u,v,w sampling
 - Use AWProjection to project down onto fitted u,v plane
 - Set threshold in w e.g. 30% of maximum w
 - Refit plane when error in fit exceeds that threshold



w as a function of u,v and hour angle +/- 4 hours

$$w_{max} \sim \sqrt[3]{\frac{t_{1snapshot}}{t_{1point}} \frac{N_{pixel}}{N_{vis}} \frac{1}{\Theta_{Res} \Theta_{FOV}^2}}$$



Changes in imaging during scaling work

• AWProject (2007)

- W projection + A projection (for primary beam)
- Too much CPU
- Too much memory for convolution function
- AProjectWStack (2008)
 - Apply W term in image space
 - Much less CPU
 - Too much memory for w stack
- AWProject + trimmed convolution function (2009)
 - Only apply and keep non-zero part of convolution function
 - Still too much memory for convolution function
- AWProject + trimmed convolution function + multiple snapshot planes (2011)
 - Fit and remove w=au+bv plane every 30 60 min
 - Small memory for convolution function
- Serialise normal equations piece-by-piece for MPI (2011)
 - Cuts down short bump in memory use
- No current algorithm will scale as-is to full field longer baselines



Preconditioning

- Want to avoid pass through data just for reweighting
- Replaces visibility weighting as a way to control sidelobes
- Calculate dirty image, point spread function with natural weighting
- Calculate Wiener filter to minimise sidelobes
 - Similar to robust weighting
- Can be done after imaging with multiple different parameters
 - Avoids expensive communication pattern



Improvement in preconditioning



Multiscale Multifrequency Synthesis

- Urvashi's algorithm now implemented in ASKAPsoft
 - Independent of casapy version
- Tested extensively for Urvashi's PhD
- Now in press
- Demonstration here on EVLA simulated data 1.4 5.4 GHz
- Parallelises easily
 - Master knows how to do deconvolution minor cycle
 - Workers know how to calculate appropriate normal equations
- But memory use is too large
 - Distribute across multiple MPI processes
 - Investigating Compressive Sampling algorithm



Summary of imaging capabilities

- Highly parallel code
 - Aiming for ~ 9000 cores
 - Necessary for 10TB/hour throughput
- AWProjection + snapshot imaging
 - Wide field imaging with low memory costs
 - AProjection allows frequency dependent primary beams
- Post-gridding preconditioning
 - To avoid multiple passes through the data
- Urvashi's Multi-Frequency Multi-Scale deconvolution algorithm
 - For wide-band (300MHz) imaging
- SNR-based CLEAN
 - To avoid cleaning low sensitivity regions
- Designed and optimised for massively parallel processing
- Roughly 7 FTE-years invested

Using ASKAPsoft for SKA1 imaging

- Dish array
 - Single Pixel Feed
 - Core + inner, 1-2GHz straightforward
 - 170 antennas
 - 8 hour observation = 234 GB
 - 1600 cores
 - Longer baselines still to be tested
- Easy compared to ASKAP!







Continuum simulations

- 8 hour observation core and inner
- Confusion limited
- -100uJy to +1000uJy



Importance of load balancing



Scaling with baseline length

- Data volume
 - Spectral line ~ B
 - Continuum ~ B^2
- W term ~ B
- Example
 - SKA SPF

Configuration	Data size	Major cycle	Total memory	Processes required
	GB	min	GB	
1km	88	18	111	7
5km	297	80	115	32
10km	1100	3000	192	240



Scaling from ASKAP numbers

- 10,000 cores to process
 - 16K channels, 36 antennas, 30 beams, 2km baselines in real time
- 12,500,000 cores
 - 32K channels, 180 antennas, 30 beams, 10km baselines in real time
- Roughly 125 PFlops
- Cost estimate
 - 1PFlop ~ \$10M in 2012
 - 125 PFlops ~ \$20M in 2018
- Steepness of scaling curves can be problem and an aid
- Need scientific use cases to firm up these numbers



Exascale=GigaHz KiloCore MegaNode

Systems	2009	2018	Difference Today & 2018
System peak	2 Pflop/s	1 Eflop/s	O(1000)
Power	6 MW	~20 MW	
System memory	0.3 PB	32 - 64 PB [.03 Bytes/Flop]	O(100)
Node performance	125 GF	1,2 or 15TF	O(10) - O(100)
Node memory BW	25 GB/s	2 - 4TB/s [.002 Bytes/Flop]	O(100)
Node concurrency	12	O(1k) or 10k	O(100) - O(1000)
Total Node Interconnect BW	3.5 GB/s	200-400GB/s (1:4 or 1:8 from memory BW)	O(100)
System size (nodes)	18,700	O(100,000) or O(1M)	O(10) - O(100)
Total concurrency	225,000	O(billion) [O(10) to O(100) for latency hiding]	O(10,000)
Storage	15 PB	500-1000 PB (>10x system memory is min)	O(10) - O(100)
IO	0.2 TB	60 TB/s (how long to drain the machine)	O(100)
MTTI	days	O(1 day)	- O(10)
Gaiim 2011			CSIRO



WP2.6.2 energy cost input / output



Access vs Reach LAN/WAN



Source: Energy at ExaFlops, Peter M. Kogge, SC09 Exa Panel

Tuesday, 26 July 2011

How to get to 100km?

- Image size ~ 30k by 30k by number of channels
- Will need to go massively multi-threaded (~1000)
- Inter MPI process communication likely to be more important
- More explicit memory management
- Existing third party software will be poorly matched
 - e.g. casacore object copies
- Move away from MeasurementSet
- Between 2012 and 2018 have to expect ~ 5 6 substantial changes in algorithm or implementation
- Incremental development probably most effective
- Goal is highly tuned and specialised software rather than general purpose package



Summary

- Scaling to large numbers of core is slow process
- Roughly 1 substantial change in algorithm/implementation per year
- Resulting code is part of a facility rather than a general purpose package



We acknowledge the Wajarri Yamatji people as the traditional owners of the Observatory site.

CSIRO



ATNF/ASKAP

Tim Cornwell ASKAP Computing Project Lead

Phone: +61 2 9372 4261 Email: <u>tim.cornwell@csiro.au</u> Web: www.atnf.csiro.au

Thank you

Contact Us Phone: 1300 363 400 or +61 3 9545 2176 Email: enquiries@csiro.au Web: www.csiro.au



Tuesday, 26 July 2011