

Single Pixel Feeds

Bill Imbriale - JPL

The US SKA TDP is considering Dual Offset Shaped Optics with a feed indexer





Exploring the Universe with the world's largest radio telescope

Why Shaping



 Dual reflector shaping is utilized to both increase the aperture efficiency and reduce the noise temperature. The aperture efficiency is increased by making the aperture illumination more uniform. Noise temperature is decreased capturing more of the feed energy in the subreflector and thus by reducing the amount of energy that is spilled past the subreflector.

DVA-1 Optics, Ray Trace



Reflector system cross section in the symmetry plane



Exploring the Universe with the world's largest radio telescope

DVA-1 Optics, Configuration



- Offset, Gregorian, Shaped Reflectors
- Wide Opening Angle At Focus
 - Accommodates wide band feeds
- Large Secondary
 - Good low frequency performance
- -16 db. feed edge taper on secondary
- Shaping chosen for -21 db. first sidelobe
- Very Low Spillover, Both Reflectors

DVA-1 Optics, Beam Pattern





Exploring the Universe with the world's largest radio telescope



ATA Feed







Significant Observation



 Each feed has different characteristics (Gain, beamwidth, cross-pol, etc) so a single reflector optics design will not be optimum for all feeds





Quad-Ridge (Lindgren)









12m Offset Gregorian Design







EPPictemay %

Frequency (GHz)











ATA Noise Temperature



Noise Temp K











Ae∕Tsys (m¥2∕K)

Frequency (GHz)



What are we going to build for DVA-1

Make use of Variable Optics

Variable subreflector opening angle with same main reflector

Therefore we can proceed to build the main reflector and test the various feeds with different subreflectors



To illustrate the technique

Dual reflector shaped for 50 Degree Subreflector Opening Angle





Ray Trace Construction of New Subreflector With 55 degree opening angle Same 50 degree Main reflector





New subreflector, ~55° Opening Angle

New subreflector, $\sim 55^{\circ}$ opening angle











Close up of New and Old Subreflector







Angle (Deg)



Phi = 90.0 degrees – 35,45,55,and 65 degree sub - -16dB edge taper



Gain (dB)



Ideal Feed



- For Separating Feed and Dish the Performance parameters were calculated with an "ideal" feed, i.e. the feed shape for which the reflector optics was designed
- Modeled as a cos Q type pattern with -16 dB edge taper



Figure 1.1 Near-in patterns for the ideal feed for 0.35, 0.7, 1.4 and 2.8 GHz

-25



Figure 1.2 Full Patterns in the offset plane for 0.35, 0.7, 1.4 and 2.8 GHz

┉┉┉┉┉┉┉

20

0

Angle (Deg)

-20

60

40

TTT

80

140

160

180

100 120

Gain (dB)

-25 -30

-180 -160 -140 -120 -100 -80 -60 -40





Figure 1.3 Efficiency as a function of frequency



S

Noise Temp K



Figure 1.4 Noise Temperature as a function of frequency







Figure 1.5 Ae/Tsys as a function of frequency



5







Figure 1.6 pointing offset in the offset plane





Figure 1.7 E- and H-plane beamwidths as a function of frequency







S



Figure 1.8 Peak sidelobe level as a function of frequency







Figure 1.9 Peak cross polarization as a function of frequency

Cross-pol level (dB)

S

Major Questions



- It is well known that a narrow band corrugated horn performs significantly better than a wide band feed
- What about an Octave Band horn?
 - To minimize the number of horns to cover a given frequency band
- How much better??
- Is it worth it???





For narrow subreflector opening angles ~40 degrees



57 degree horn by G. Cortes Suitable for wider subreflector Opening angles ~55 to 65 degrees



Efficiency %







Octave Band Horns Efficiency



Frequency (GHz)



Octave Band Corrugated Horns Noise Temperature



Noise Temp K


Ae/Tsys for the Octave Band Horns



Ae/Tsys <m¥2/K)

Frequency (GHz)





Figure 1.15 Pointing offset for both feeds





Figure 1.16 E and H-plane beamwidths for both feeds



S





Figure 1.17 Peak sidelobe level for both feeds













Wide angle Radiation pattern cuts at 1.4 GHz of the 15m Shaped Offset Gregorian 55° sub optics with a ring-loaded corrugated horn.







Full antenna pattern map at 1.4 GHz for the 15m Shaped Offset Gregorian 55°sub optics with a ring-loaded corrugated horn. The scale is the relative power in dB with respect to the main beam













Antenna Noise Temperature (1.4 GHz) vs. Elevation for the 15m Shaped Offset Gregorian



2







Figure 1.19 CIT Quad-ridge Flared Horn (QRFH)



Figure 1.20 Quad ridged feed efficiency as a function of frequency











Figure 1.21 Quad ridged feed Noise Temperature as a function of frequency





Figure 1.22 Ae/Tsys of the Quad ridged feed as a function of frequency



Figure 1.23 Pointing offset of the Quad ridged feed as a function of frequency



Figure 1.24 E- and H-plane beamwidths as a function of frequency











Figure 1.25 Sidelobe level as a function of frequency







Figure 1.26 Cross polarization level as a function of frequency













Figure 1.28 Efficiency of the QSC-i as a function of frequency





Noise Temp K

Figure 1.29 Noise Temperature of the QSC-i as a function of frequency





Figure 1.30 Ae/Tsys of the QSC-i as a function of frequency



Figure 1.32 E- and H-plane beamwidths of the QSC-i as a function of frequency





S

Figure 1.33 Sidelobe level of the QSC-i as a function of frequency



S



Figure 1.34 Peak Cross polarization of the QSC-i as a function of frequency













Figure 1.36 Efficiency of the ATA feed as a function of frequency





Figure 1.37 Noise Temperature of the ATA feed as a function of frequency





Figure 1.38 Ae/Tsys of the ATA feed as a function of frequency



Figure 1.42 Peak Cross polarization of the ATA feed as a function of frequency













Figure 1.44 Efficiency of the Eleven feed as a function of frequency





Figure 1.45 Noise Temperature of the Eleven feed as a function of frequency





Figure 1.46 Ae/Tsys of the Eleven feed as a function of frequency



Figure 1.50 Peak Cross-polarization of the Eleven feed as a function of frequency



Log Periodic Log Spiral



(a)



(b)





Figure 1.52 Efficiency of the log spiral antenna




Figure 1.53 Noise Temperature of the log spiral antenna



Figure 1.54 Ae/Tsys of the log spiral antenna as a function of frequency

Frequency (GHz)

ė

0.0

Ó





Figure 1.59 Peak cross polarization level of the log spiral antenna

DVA-1 Optics, Summary



- High Aeff / Tsys
- Very low RFI susceptability
- Selected first sidelobe magnitude
- Low cross polarization
- Actual performance depends on the feed
- Corrugated horns approach the ideal performance
- Wide band feeds have lower performance