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• HI in the nearby Universe
  – Mass function, formation and evolution of galaxies

• HI in the distance Universe
  – Signature of baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO), telling us the history of accelerated expansion and the nature of Dark Energy

• Detection and identification of nearly all the pulsars in the Galaxy
  – Exotic binary systems to test General Relativity in the strong field
  – Isolated pulsars for use in the Pulsar Timing Array (detection of gravitational waves, possibly a gravitational wave background)

• Transient phenomena such as "Fast Radio Bursts"
SKA Science: Field of View

- HI survey: Intensity Mapping
  - Sensitivity and sky coverage are much more important than angular resolution.

- Pulsars
  - Sensitivity and sky coverage are most important. Multiple pulsars can be distinguished within a single beam by dynamics.

- Transient phenomena such as "Fast Radio Bursts"
  - Nothing replaces Field of View
System Requirements

- Need requirements across the band and not just one number for the whole band (e.g. Field of View)
- Discussion with Mario Santos (UWC) Phil Bull (Oslo → JPL) for cosmology requirements. Now have a definition of requirements as a function of frequency for Cosmology
- Jess Broderick has had discussions with ASTRON colleagues and others (Oxford: Fender, Mooley; Curtin: Anderson).
- Work ongoing: SysReq document led by Andre Gunst
MFAA Demonstrator in Karoo

- Main goal: technical demonstrator
  1. Polarization performance
  2. Operations in Karoo (environment, etc)
  3. Calibration, longish baseline (~few 100 m)
  4. Science operations
- Considerable interest from the science community
  - 3000m² surface is direct competitor to Parkes, but with 100x larger FoV.
  - MFAA demonstrator will be the top FRB instrument
  - Cosmology intensity mapping: lots of interest, especially if we can do short baselines (~few 10's m)
MFAA Architecture

- MFAA will be built on the site which already has SKA1-MID and associated infrastructure
- MFAA architecture proposal should include SKA1-MID and not propose an entirely independent instrument
  - Processing requirements for 100 sq. deg. FoV with high angular resolution (outer stations) is prohibitive.
  - Makes sense to have SKA with MFAA core and dishes in outer stations
- SKA1-MID=3% SKA waiting to be married to MFAA
SKA1-MID inner core with MFAA

1.3km
MFAA is seen to be too expensive

• Phil Diamond, 10 November 2015, “MFAA is years away from proving cost and power consumption requirements.”

• We have to publish documents which demonstrate otherwise!