Prototype Development: Experiences, Progresses and Lessons Learned

C.Baffa, E.Giani



LMC harmonization through Telescopes Step2: LMC Peer Review Meeting 2

Madrid, 11-13 April 2016

### Reference framework

### The reference framework is established by:

- SKA1\_MID Telescope Interface Control Document CSP to TM
- Interface Control Document LMC to CSP Sub-elements
- SKA CSP Local Monitor and Control Sub-element Detailed Design Description
- LMC Interface Guidelines Document
- Tango Interface Guidelines

The development has been performed in the MID mental framework, but as functionalities are in common with LOW, the prototype structure would differ in minor details.



 $2_{\rm of 63}$ 

# The Prototype Aim

### The prototype is intended to:

- 1.Test the Tango ability and find the best approaches to implement the main CSP.LMC functionalities:
  - The conversion of TM command to sub-element level commands
  - The sub-elements communication and coordination
  - Handling of alarms, events and messages
  - Handling of timed commands
  - Monitoring points and report general/detailed status
- 2.Verify the compliance of requirements about timings in critical operations (re-configuration, alarms notifications, initialization etc.) and/or get a better estimate of these timings3.Test, if possible, a small subset of design alternatives



 $3_{\text{of }63}$ 

### **First Experience**

### The first device was intended as 'test-bed' for Sys Class

- Reuse of a portion of a Community Tango Class
- Customization for our specific needs
- Name 'TemperatureMonitor', but not only temperatures!
- First experiences of handling arrays of attributes.
- Experience on Tango standard tools

### Lessons learned:

Power and limits of Pogo (limit on inheritance)

Inheritance & abstract classes



 $4_{\text{of 63}}$ 

### SKA Concepts as Tango Entities (1)

### Mapping SKA concepts in terms of Tango ones:

- Standard SKA status variables to Tango 'enum'
- Problems with State, two alternatives:
  - Use of Tango-State as SKA-OpState: we miss some states
  - Use of a custom variable as SKA-OpState: we miss state machine
- Drill down in engineer mode: *use of real tango device names*
- Hierarchy of servers and of Tango facilities as SKA elements ( Lize's presentation in Trieste)



5 of 63

### SKA Concepts as Tango Entities (2)

#### Lessons learned:

State problem: we do not want a Tango fork! Some weakness in Tango enum Use of a central 'devices & proprieties' repository

• *Mapping SKA ICDs to Tango Control System,* C.Baffa, E.Giani, Arcetri Technical Report 3/2015 6 of 63

### Vertical Simulator

### A Vertical simulator in order to test Connectivity

- Three level communication: from 'TM' to a 'Master' to the 'Devices'
- Test connections and commands/message exchange
- Possibility to handle a large number of *device* nodes
- Experience on responsiveness and timings

### Lessons learned:

High speed of communications Normally low latency, occasional larger one 7 of 63

### Vertical Simulator Response time



The first bin is 2 microseconds wide.



8 of 63

# The Prototype Functions

The CSP.LMC Prototype shall implement overall CSP monitor and control.

- Maintain and control the overall CSP status
- Implement the interface with TM and SubElements.
- Receive and execute TM commands
- Perform mapping of TM commands to command for individual CSP SubElements
- Handle timed commands
- Configure SubArrays and allocate the Capabilities to them
- Handle CSP alarms, events and other messages received from the CSP SubElements.
- Maintain a log of all the activities

Q<sub>of 63</sub>

### **Basic Assumptions & Requirements**

- 1. Use of Tango as control framework
- 2. Most, if not all, TM interactions flow through CSP.LMC
- 3. TM is agnostic about the detailed hardware structure
- 4. TM sends coherent and complete commands to CSP.LMC
  - CSP.LMC performs syntactic and minimum safety checks
- TM sends detailed configurations for scan programming (EICD) as compounded settings for parameters or compounded commands:
- 6. TM sends immediate and timed commands.
  - the implementation of command queues on all Master nodes
  - Each command identified by an ID
  - A structured system of acknowledge for immediate and delayed commands



 $10_{\rm of 63}$ 

### Inside our Tango Classes

To define the Tango Classes of the CSP.LMC prototype we started from the two ICD documents:

- from the *EICD* we have derived the attributes and methods common to all elements, sub-elements and capabilities → we have defined few *abstract* classes
- from the *IICD* we have derived the attributes and methods specific to each sub-element and capability



 $11_{\text{of 63}}$ 

### **CSP Detailed Structure**

Figure 7-1 Monitor and control hierarchy for CSP Mid– this diagramhas been provided as an example of the monitor and control hierarchy, it does not show the accurate number of Components.



From: S.Vrcjc SKA ICD SKA Document



### Taxonomy of the prototype classes





Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### The prototype structure (1)

- The prototype structure is modeled on the CSP architecture: Each M&C entity is implemented as a Tango Device Servers running one or more Tango Devices
  - One Tango Device Server for CSP Element
  - One Tango Device Server for each SubElement (CBF, PSS and PST)
  - Each Device Server runs on a separate PC (Master Node)
- The prototype will implement some M&C functionalities as Tango Devices.
- The prototype uses the Tango System Logging for logging (open!)



 $14_{\rm of 63}$ 

# The prototype structure (2)

We see two alternative approaches to sub-array implementation: a)Implementation of subarrays as 16 separate telescopes which share a pool of hardware resources

b)A single hardware pool which can be organized in up to 16 subarrays.

 $\rightarrow$  In our prototype we have implemented model b).



### The prototype structure (3)





16 of 63

Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

# The prototype structure (4)

- There will be one top-level CSP and three sub-element Master Nodes: 4 pc based on COTS hardware and SO (Linux)
- In each master node will run one or more Tango Devices servers

On the CSP Master node:

- The CSPLMC Tango Device
- The CSPSYS Tango Device
- A command handler device (scheduler)
- An Alarm Handler Device
- The Capability Device(s)
- The SubArray Device(s)
- A logging system

 $17_{\text{of 63}}$ 

# The prototype structure (5)

- On the three SubElement Master nodes:
  - The SubElement LMC (CBF.LMC, PSS.LMC, PST.LMC)
  - The SubElement SYS
  - The Alarm Handler
  - A command handler device (scheduler)
  - A logging system
  - These devices can run in a single Tango Device Server (as a multi-class device) or can run in separate Tango Device Servers.
- → Alternative: single server (Box) or independent servers?
  - Single server might be affected by Thread-Safety issues



 $18_{\rm of 63}$ 

### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy

 $19_{of 63}$ 

# Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy



# Tentative Naming Schema for CSP (1)

| Object     | JsonID | Class     | Device in Database        | ObjectID  |
|------------|--------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Master CSP | csp    | MasterCsp | proto/master/csp          | MasterCsp |
|            |        | SubArray  | Proto/capability/subarray | SubArray  |
|            |        | LmcMaster | proto/lmcmaster/csp       | CspSys    |
|            |        | Alarm     | proto/alarm/csp           | CspAlarm  |
| Master PSS | pss    | MasterPss | proto/master/pss          | MasterPss |
|            |        | LmcMaster | proto/lmcmaster/pss       | PssSys    |
|            |        | Alarm     | proto/alarm/pss           | PssAlarm  |



21 of 63

# Tentative Naming Schema for CSP (2)

| Object     | JsonID | Class     | Device in Database  | ObjectID  |
|------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Master PST | pst    | MasterPst | proto/master/pst    | MasterPst |
|            |        | LmcMaster | proto/lmcmaster/pst | PstSys    |
|            |        | Alarm     | proto/alarm/pst     | PstAlarm  |
| Master CBF | cbf    | MasterCbf | proto/master/cbf    | MasterCbf |
|            |        | LmcMaster | proto/lmcmaster/cbf | CbfSys    |
|            |        | Alarm     | proto/alarm/cbf     | CbfAlarm  |

22 of 63

Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy

 $23_{\rm of 63}$ 

# SKA Operational State (1)

|               | SKA  | Tango   |
|---------------|--|---------|
| OFF           | This is a Powered off state.   | OFF     |
| READY         | This suggests that the Element is ready to operate   | ON      |
| SHUTTING-DOWN | This is a transient state in which the Element is shutting down.   | MOVING  |
| HYBERNATE     | Special non-operational state in which Entity has been placed after intialization. From this state it can transit to OFF or SLEEP.                         | DISABLE |
| SLEEP         | Special non-operational state in which Entity has been placed to reduce power consumption. From this state it can transit to HYBERNATE or READY.           | STANDBY |
| FAILED        | An Element reports an 'Error' state when it detects a problem that affects its ability to accept certain commands or execute certain processes/operations. | FAULT   |
| UNKNOWN       | TM is not aware of actual state of Element.  | UNKNOWN |
| INITIALIZING  | This is a transient state in which the Element exists when it is starting up its processes.  | INIT    |



### **SKA Mode Variables**

# *From*: LMC Interface Guidelines Document

| SKA                               |                    |   | Tango na me    |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|
| Element Type                      | Real               | a Real Element is connected   | Element_Type   |
|                                   | Simulated          | a simulator is connected in place of a real Element.  |                |
|                                   | Standby            | a Element/Simulator is connected and is used as a backup device for providing redundancy                        |                |
|                                   | Not-Fitted         | the Element/sub-element is not fitted.  |                |
| Control Mode                      | Central            | Element is under TM control.  | Control_Mode   |
|                                   | Local              | The element is under manual/local control of the LMC.   |                |
| Operating Mode                    | Enabled            | The Element is allowed to perform activities.   | Operating_Mode |
| (alternative to<br>Administrative | Disabled           | The Element is intentionally excluded from performing activities or participating in an operation               |                |
| Mode)                             | Maintenance        | The Element is reserved for maintenance activities like<br>diagnostics, configuration changes, commissioning    |                |
|                                   | Test               | The Element is reserved for setup / testing activities.   |                |
|                                   | Safe               | This mode imposes functional restrictions that increase resilience to equipment damage                          |                |
| Health Status                     | Normal             | Element is in normal working condition  | Health_Status  |
|                                   | Degraded           | Element is functioning in degraded condition when subset<br>of its functionality is compromised or unavailable. |                |
|                                   | Failed             | Implies when there is major failure that prevents Element to perform its function.                              |                |
|                                   | <u>NotOperable</u> | Element is not available for observations due to missing dependencies.  |                |
| Usage Status                      | Idle               | Element is not in use but it is available for use.  | Usage_Status   |
|                                   | Active             | Element is performing in observations, but it still has operating capacity to provide for more observations.    |                |
|                                   | Busy (?)           | Element is performing in one or more observations and cannot participate in any other observations              |                |

Table 3: Synopsis of proposed mapping of SKA Status and Mode variable to Tango Attributes.





### States Use Cases (1)

Tango State very useful for a simple physical device.

### but

For a complex physical device or for a logical one can be not enough. The associated state machine cannot cope with its complexity.



# States Use Cases (2)

### Some examples:

- 1.We have two subArrays busy on 1000 and 500 PSS beams, PST in fault, CSP is ready. But I can still make image observations
- 2.All is working, we have two subArrays busy on 1000 and 500 PSS beams, we will put PST in low-power mode
- 3.We have two subArrays busy on 800 and 500 PSS beams, and 200 PSS beams are in Fault. How TM will know it cannot allocate further beams?
- → We need to use all SKA defined Status Variables!





### Arrays of State/Mode Attributes

We need to use, report and summarize many SKA Status variables

For a complex physical device as CBF, PSS and PST we need to report the logical states of a large number of devices, from tenths to thousands.

Most efficient handling by means of arrays

Tango still do not implement arrays of enum,

V

SKA Status Variables will be implemented as array of shorts



### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy

 $29_{of 63}$ 

### **Parameters Setting**

#### At startup:

Succession of defaults:

- Tango library default
- Class default
- Tango database
- Hardwired code

### At set-up:

- Use of setParam command
- SetParam('json object');

For special/engineering purpose a remote setAttribute(s) command



### SetParam Command (1)

setParam accepts attribute settings and general commands

```
Command: setParam From: TM Destination: CSP.LMC
(cspMaster).
Argument: Json String {
    "activationTime": "10:30:00", // should be a Unix time
    "sourceId": "TM",
    "commandId" : "123456", // identifies this execution
    "subArray0": { // init of subArray
        "antennasList": "0,1,2,3,4,10,100",
        "creationDate": "20160310 10:30:00",
        "administrativeMode": "enabled",
        "observingMode": "0", // idle
}
```

setParam can have a complex command structure inside

Json argument versus structured Pipe: efficiency, flexibility, easier to maintain

### SetParam Command (2)

setParam for a complete 1500 beams PSS parameter set-up has a 100K json string argument. We have a sintetic Json generator.

```
Command: setParam
                                                                                                                 From: TM Destination: CSP.LMC (cspMaster).
      Argument: Json String {
       "activationTime": "10:31:00", // should be a Unix time
      "sourceId": "TM",
"commandId" : "123456",
                                                                                                                 // identifies this execution
      "GlobalValues": { // init of internal variables common to all subsystems
                                         "subArrayId": "4",
"ObservingMode": "2", // PSS
                                         "scanId": "AB45-34",
"numberOfBeams": "500"
                                                                                                                                                      // We store scanId for subArray 4
   }
"CSP" : {
                                        // CSP specific parameters
"PSSBeamID" : ["AB45-34/1", "AB45-34/2", ... "AB45-34/500"] // 500 values
"PSSPointingCoord" : [ ... ] // 500 values
"PSSDestinationAddress" : ["10.1.1.1:4000", ... "10.1.50.10:4000"] // 500 values
// many hardware related parameters
                                        }
*setBeams":{ // specialized command
    "numberOfChannels": "4096",
    "PSSBeamID": ["AB45-34/1", "AB45-34/2", ... "AB45-34/500"] // 500 values
    "Beam Ponting Parameters": { ... }, // many hardware related parameters
    "commandId": "123456/3", // identifies this execution
    }
"PSS.Master": {
    "setSubArray":{
        "subArray":{
        "subArray":{

                                                                              rray":{ // specialized command
"subArrayId": "4", // in the fourth slot we host subArray 4
"scanTime": "34.12", // scan (integration) time
"subArrayObsMode": "2", // PSS
                                                                              "beamBw": "2",
                                                                               "accelerationRange" : "0"
                                                                              "DispersionMeasure": "300"
                                                                              "programming Parameters" : { ... } // many hardware r
"commandId" : "123456/4", // identifies this execution
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               // many hardware related parameters
                                        }
"setBeams":{
                                                                              ":{ // specialized command "beamBw": "2",
                                                                              "beambw": "2",
"accelerationRange": "0",
"DispersionMeasure": "300",
"PSSBeamID": ["AB45-34/1", "AB45-34/2", ... "AB45-34/500"] // 500 values
"programming Parameters": { ... } // many hardware related parameters
"commandId": "123456/5", // identifies this execution
```



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### TM Json simulator

#### Command line generator for observation programming command

| Usage: ./json_generator <option(s)> <mode>Mode:<br/>CBF Generate a CBF only command string<br/>PSS Generate a PSS only command string<br/>CSP Generate a combo CBF &amp; PSS string (default)</mode></option(s)>   | "CSP": {     "setBeams": {         "pssDestinationAddress": [             "10.1.1.0:4000",             "10.1.1.1:4000",             "10.1.1.2:4000",             "10.1.1.2:4000",             "10.1.1.3:4000",             "10.1.1.4:4000" ], |
|--|---|
| General Options:<br>-h Show this bein message  | "pssPointingCoord": [<br>"05:34:31.83 +22:00:52.86",<br>"05:34:31.77 +22:00:52.15",   |
| -o Output file (default out.json)  | "05:34:31.93 +22:00:52.35",<br>"05:34:31.86 +22:00:52.92",<br>"05:34:31.49 +22:00:52.21"  |
| PSS Options:<br>-b Specify the number od PSS beam to generate (default 50)   | <pre>} }, "PSS": {     "setBeams": {         "accelerationRange": 1,         "bemBw": 2,         "dispersionMeasure": 300,         "subArrayId": 4,         "subArrayId": 4,         "subArrayId": 12456/4"</pre>                             |
| <pre>Command: setParam From: TM Destination: CSP.LMC (cspMaster). Argument: Json String {     "activationTime": "10:31:00", // should be a Unix time     "sourceId": "TM",     "commandId": "123456", // identifies this execution     "GlobalValues": { // init of internal variables common to all subsystems     "subArrayId": "4",     "observingMode": "2", // PSS     "scanId": "AB45-34", // We store scanId for subArray 4     "numberOfBeams": "5" } "CBF": {     "cBF": {         "subCommandId": "123456/3"         ",         "subCommandId": "123456/3"         ",         "subCommandId": 1,         "subCommandId": 1,         "bitPersample": 8,         "delayMode1": [         [         "bitPersample": 8,         "delayMode1": [         [         "2.62"         "         [         "</pre> | <pre>},<br/>"activationTime": 1459870550,<br/>"commandId": 123456,<br/>"globalValues": {<br/>"numberOfBeams": 5,<br/>"observingMode": 2,<br/>"scanId": "A45-34",<br/>"scanIid": "A45-34",<br/>"psBeamId": [</pre>                             |
| ""<br>"0.27",<br>"0.59",<br>"0.59",<br>"7.63",<br>"9.26"<br>],"<br>"numberofchannels": 4096,<br>"subArrayObsMode": 2,<br>"subCommandId": "123456/2"<br>},  | Json parameter<br>for 5 PSS beams   |



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### Commands

A large set of pre-defined commands from Tango for engineering use. For normal operation we use setParam and a small set of specific ones

| Command name             | Input data type              | Output data type             |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| State                    | void                         | Tango::DevState              |
| Status                   | void                         | Tango::DevString             |
| Init                     | void                         | void                         |
| DevRestart               | Tango::DevString             | void                         |
| RestartServer            | void                         | void                         |
| QueryClass               | void                         | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| QueryDevice              | void                         | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| Kill                     | void                         | void                         |
| QueryWizardClassProperty | Tango::DevString             | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| QueryWizardDevProperty   | Tango::DevString             | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| QuerySubDevice           | void                         | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| AddLoggingTarget         | Tango::DevVarStringArray     | void                         |
| RemoveLoggingTarget      | Tango::DevVarStringArray     | void                         |
| GetLoggingTarget         | Tango::DevString             | Tango::DevVarStringArray     |
| GetLoggingLevel          | Tango::DevVarStringArray     | Tango::DevVarLongStringArray |
| SetLoggingLevel          | Tango::DevVarLongStringArray | void                         |
| StopLogging              | void                         | void                         |
| StartLogging             | void                         | void                         |



#### 35 of 63

### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy



# **Capability Strategy**

### Proposal

- Most of processing intelligence inside the MasterCsp
- Capabilities as information and configuration container
- We consider capabilities as a different view to the real hardware, more like a mental organization tool.

### Consequence

This separates hardware handling from logical entities handling, as per Tango approach.

### Alternatives:

an array of data structures inside MasterCsp.



# **Capabilities Implementation**

- We will implement capabilities as container of configuration and status
- We have therefore the necessity to handle a list or array of complex data structure inside a Tango class.
- Tango attribute can only be arrays of simple types.
- We need to access the structures as a whole, but also to access arrays of specific attributes (es. Health Status)

### The solution we devise:

- inside of the Tango device a list or array of the appropriate structures
- to make visible, as Tango attributes, only arrays of needed attributes

### Alternative: synchronized arrays of simple types



### Example of Capability Data: PSS case

| Field Name   | Example Data       | Source of data |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------|
| BeamId       | 1234               | MasterCsp      |
| HealthStatus | Normal             | MasterPss      |
| Available    | No                 | MasterCsp      |
| UsageStatus  | Active             | MasterCsp      |
| SubArray     | 1                  | ТМ             |
| CbfBeam      | 233                | MasterCbf      |
| PssResource  | node_01_13_B       | MasterPss      |
| PssDevice    | proto/node_01_13_A | MasterPss      |
| PssInputAddr | 10.0.10.54:4000    | MasterPss      |
| SdpInputAddr | 10.1.12.11:3500    | ТМ             |
| TimeStart    | 2147483647         | ТМ             |
| TimeEnd      | 2147484577         | ТМ             |
| CreationTime | 2147483600         | MasterCsp      |

#### 1500 instances



### **Capabilities view**





Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### Sub-Arrays

- Sub-Arrays implemented as 16 instance of a simple device driver class
- We will implement Sub-Arrays as a container of configuration and status

### Alternative:

- Inside MasterCsp Class device a list or array of the appropriate complex structures.
- A single SubArray Tango Device with an array of complex structures as the others capabilities.



### Pulsar Search M&C



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2



## A glance to Pulsar Search (1)

One PSS node can process from 2 up to 12 CBF-beams. Each CBF-beam data is processed by a single data pipeline

We will have N nodes, each with M parallel data processing pipelines,

Gives the N \* M = 1500 PSS.MID-Resources. Now N=750, M=2. 256 FPGA boards of the CBF.MID can form up to 1536 CBFBeams used by the PSS.MID.



 $42_{\text{of 63}}$ 

### A glance to Pulsar Search (2)

A resource at PSS level corresponds to a single software data pipeline, which process data coming from the associated CBF-beam.

Each PSS-Resource is characterized by (Slide 35):

- a name corresponding to the Tango device name (running on a PSS node).
- an IP Address-port combination for data input
- a SDP IP Address-port combination for output products.
- A symbolic name, for instance: Node\_RR\_PCX where RR is the rack, PC is the PC sequential number, and X is the pipeline identifier
- Few ancillary data (creation and expiration times, etc).



 $43_{\rm of 63}$ 

### **EICD** Parameters





Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

#### $45 \, \mathrm{of} \, \mathrm{63}$

### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy



# Scenario Example – 1 Allocation of 500 PSS beams

setParam accepts attribute settings and general commands

```
Command: setParam From: TM Destination: CSP.LMC (cspMaster).
Argument: Json String {
    "activationTime": "10:31:00", // should be a Unix time
    "sourceId": "TM",
    "commandId" : "123456", // identifies this execution
    "CSP" : {
        "allocateBeams": { // init
            "beamsType": "PSS", // it can be PSS, PST and VLBI
            "subArrayId": "0",
            "beamsCount": "500",
            "creationDate": "20160310 10:31:00",
            "commandId" : "123456/1",// identifies this execution
        }
}
```

setParam can have a complex command structure inside



 $46_{0.0163}$ 

### Scenarios Example (2)



Graphic flow of beam allocation operations. Error handling in red, Capabilities in purple and SubDevices in green





# Scenarios Example (3/1)

| CSP.LMC   | subArray{0-15}  | PssBeams Capability |
|---|---|---------------------|
| Receive the command from TM   |   |                     |
| Acknowledge command<br>123456   |   |                     |
| Identify the CSP section and parse it                                       |   |                     |
| Spawn the execution of command (123456/1)                                   |   |                     |
| Verify if subArray0 is already<br>initialized and has Antennas<br>allocated | Report status of<br>subArray0 and<br>allocated Antennas |                     |



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

# Scenarios Example (3/2)

| CSP.LMC   | subArray{0-15} | PssBeams Capability |
|---|----------------|---------------------|
| If subArray 0 has not any<br>Antennas allocated<br>Rise error → End of<br>Command               |                |                     |
| If subArray 0 is not IDLE<br>Rise error →End of<br>Command                                      |                |                     |
| Ask PssMaster list of available PSS Resources   |                |                     |
| If available PSS Resource<br>are less than requested<br>beams<br>Rise error → End of<br>Command |                |                     |



# Scenarios Example (3/3)

| CSP.LMC  | subArray{0-15} | PssBeams Capability |
|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Ask CbfMaster list of<br>available CBF Beams<br>Resources  |                |                     |
| If available CBF Beams<br>Resource are less than<br>requested beams<br>Rise error →End of<br>Command |                |                     |
| CSP.LMC creates a<br>correspondence table<br>between<br>PSS Resource and CBF<br>Beams                |                |                     |





# Scenarios Example (3/4)

| CSP.LMC   | subArray{0-15}                             | PssBeams Capability                     |
|---|--|---|
| CSP.LMC update PssBeam<br>Capability  |  | Update the PssBeam correspondence table |
| CSP.LMC update SubArray<br>Capability   | Update the<br>implemented<br>PssBeam table |   |
| If available subArray<br>PssBeam table is full<br>Rise error →End of<br>Command |  |   |



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

# Scenarios Example (3/5)

| CSP.LMC   | subArray{0-15}                             | PssBeams Capability |
|---|--|---------------------|
| Write other attributes to<br>SubArray0 (creationDate,<br>administrativeMode,<br>observingMode,) | Update other<br>attributes to<br>SubArray0 |                     |
| Acknowledge successful<br>execution of command<br>123456/1                                      |  |                     |
| Acknowledge successful<br>execution of command<br>123456  |  |                     |
| End of execution  |  |                     |



## More simple examples (1)



Initialization of a subArray

Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2



### More simple examples (2)



Antennas removal from a subArray



Madrid 11-13 April 2016 / LMC harmonization through Telescopes, Step2: LMC Peer Review - Meeting 2

### A More Complex Example





### Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy



### Alarms Handling (1)

We plan to use Tango C++ Alarm System







# Alarms Handling (2)

From thousands to millions of attributes: We definitively need both a **fast Implementation** and a **hierarchical approach**.

Alarms in Tango are out of limits exception.

The Alarm device driver can convert this scenario to a complete Alarm System.



### Alarms hierarchy





# Prototype main points

- Tentative Naming Schema
- State/Mode Variables
- Parameter setting, *setParam*
- Capability/SubArray strategy
- Scenarios execution analysis
- Alarms implementation
- Initialization strategy



### **Initialization Schema**





# Initialization Strategy

Long and complex task.

Tree implementation alternatives:

1.Sequential initialization inside main Tango Driver

- 2.Sequential initialization inside a yat4tango thread
- 3.Parallel initialization using a yat4tango thread for each subelement.

We have implemented already 3, but we have some interprocess communication issues.



# **Comments and Suggestions?**

# Thank you!

Special thanks: Marina Vela Nuñez for the presentation review Luca B. for the presentation layout

